

TTK4150 Nonlinear Control Systems

Solution 4

Department of Engineering Cybernetics
Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Fall 2003

Solution 1

1. The function $V_1(x_1, x_2t)$ is given by

$$V_1(x_1, x_2t) = x_1^2 + (1 + e^t) x_2^2$$

Since $e^t \rightarrow \infty$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$, the term $(1 + e^t)$ may not be upper bounded uniformly in t . Hence, the function is not decrescent. The function may however be lower bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} V_1(x_1, x_2t) &= x_1^2 + (1 + e^t) x_2^2 \\ &\geq x_1^2 + x_2^2 \\ &= W_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

where it can be recognized that $W_1(x)$ is positive definite. This implies that the function $V_1(x_1, x_2t)$ is positive definite.

2. The function $V_2(x_1, x_2t)$ is given by

$$V_2(x_1, x_2t) = \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{1 + t}$$

Since $\frac{1}{1+t} \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$ the function $V_2(x_1, x_2t)$ may not be lower bounded uniformly in t . Hence, the function is not positive definite. The function may however be upper bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} V_2(x_1, x_2t) &= \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{1 + t} \\ &\leq x_1^2 + x_2^2 \\ &= W_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

where it can be recognized that $W_2(x)$ is positive definite. This implies that the function $V_2(x_1, x_2t)$ is decrescent.

3. The function $V_3(x_1, x_2t)$ is given by

$$V_3(x_1, x_2t) = (1 + \cos^4 t) (x_1^2 + x_2^2)$$

The function may be lower and upper bounded according to

$$\begin{aligned} V_3(x_1, x_2t) &= (1 + \cos^4 t) (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \\ &\geq x_1^2 + x_2^2 \\ &= W_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_3(x_1, x_2t) &= (1 + \cos^4 t) (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \\ &\leq 2(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \\ &= W_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

Since $W_1(x)$ and $W_2(x)$ are both positive definite, we conclude that the function $V_3(x_1, x_2t)$ is positive definite and decrescent.

Solution 2

The system is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= -x_1 - g(t)x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= x_1 - x_2 \end{aligned}$$

A Lyapunov function candidate is taken as

$$V(t, x) = x_1^2 + (1 + g(t))x_2^2$$

By using $0 \leq g(t) \leq k$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} V(t, x) &= x_1^2 + (1 + g(t))x_2^2 \\ &\leq x_1^2 + (1 + k)x_2^2 \\ &\leq \|x\|_2^2 \\ &= W_2(x) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V(t, x) &= x_1^2 + (1 + g(t))x_2^2 \\ &\geq x_1^2 + x_2^2 \\ &= \|x\|_2^2 \\ &= W_1(x) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where it can be recognized that $W_1(x)$ and $W_2(x)$ are positive definite, implying that $V(t, x)$ is positive definite and decrescent. The time derivative of the Lyapunov function candidate along the trajectories of the system is found as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{V}(t, x) &= 2x_1\dot{x}_1 + \dot{g}(t)x_2^2 + 2(1 + g(t))x_2\dot{x}_2 \\
 &= 2x_1(-x_1 - g(t)x_2) + \dot{g}(t)x_2^2 + 2(1 + g(t))x_2(x_1 - x_2) \\
 &= -2x_1^2 - 2g(t)x_1x_2 + \dot{g}(t)x_2^2 + 2x_1x_2 - 2x_2^2 + 2g(t)x_2x_1 - 2g(t)x_2^2 \\
 &= -2x_1^2 + \dot{g}(t)x_2^2 + 2x_1x_2 - 2x_2^2 - 2g(t)x_2^2 \\
 &= -2x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 - 2x_2^2 - (2g(t) - \dot{g}(t))x_2^2
 \end{aligned}$$

It can be recognized that $(2g(t) - \dot{g}(t)) \geq 0$ since $\dot{g}(t) \leq g(t)$. Using this, the time derivative of $V(t, x)$ is upper bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{V}(t, x) &= -2x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 - 2x_2^2 - (2g(t) - \dot{g}(t))x_2^2 \\
 &\leq -2x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 - 2x_2^2 \\
 &= -x^T \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} x \\
 &= -x^T Q x
 \end{aligned}$$

where it can be recognized that Q is positive definite, $\text{eig}(Q) = \{1, 3\}$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{V}(t, x) &\leq -x^T Q x \\
 &\leq -\|x\|_2^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Using (1), (2) and (3) we conclude by Theorem 4.10 that the origin is globally exponentially stable.

Solution 3

The system is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{x}_1 &= x_2 \\
 \dot{x}_2 &= -x_1 - c(t)x_2
 \end{aligned}$$

A Lyapunov function candidate is taken as

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \tag{4}$$

The time derivative of $V(x)$ along the trajectories of the system is found as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{V}(x) &= x_1 \dot{x}_1 + x_2 \dot{x}_2 \\
 &= x_1 x_2 + x_2 (-x_1 - c(t) x_2) \\
 &= x_1 x_2 - x_1 x_2 - c(t) x_2^2 \\
 &= -c(t) x_2^2 \\
 &\leq -k_1 x_2^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

and it can be seen that $\dot{V}(x)$ is negative semidefinite. By Theorem 4.8 we conclude that the origin is uniformly stable ($V(x)$ is positive definite and decrescent). In order to prove that $x_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ we apply Barbalat's lemma. Since $\dot{V}(x) = -c(t) x_2^2$ where $c(t)$ is some bounded value greater than zero, $\dot{V}(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x_2 = 0$. Following the notation of Lemma 8.2, let $\phi(t) = \dot{V}(t)$. $\dot{V}(t)$ is uniformly continuous in t if $\ddot{V}(t)$ is bounded

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ddot{V}(t) &= -\dot{c}(t) x_2^2 - 2c(t) x_2 \dot{x}_2 \\
 &= -\dot{c}(t) x_2^2 - 2c(t) x_2 (-x_1 - c(t) x_2) \\
 &= -\dot{c}(t) x_2^2 - 2c(t) x_1 x_2 - 2c^2(t) x_2^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (4) and (5) it can be recognized that $V(t) \leq V(t_0)$, which implies that x_1 and x_2 are bounded. Since x_1 and x_2 are bounded and it is given that $c(t)$ and $\dot{c}(t)$ are bounded, it follows that $\ddot{V}(t)$ is bounded. The bound on $\ddot{V}(t)$ guarantees that $\dot{V}(t)$ is uniformly continuous. In order to conclude by Barbalat's lemma we also need to prove that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \dot{V}(\tau) d\tau$ exists and is finite. This is proven according to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \dot{V}(\tau) d\tau &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (V(t) - V(0)) \\
 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} V(t) - V(0)
 \end{aligned}$$

where we know that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} V(t) = V_\infty$ is a finite number since $V(t) \geq 0 \forall t$ and $\dot{V}(t) \leq 0 \forall t$.

Solution 4

1. The system is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{x}_1 &= -x_1 + x_1^2 x_2 \\
 \dot{x}_2 &= -x_1^3 - x_2 + u
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $V(x)$ be given by

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2} (x_1^2 + x_2^2)$$

The time derivative along the trajectories of the system is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{V}(x) &= x_1 \dot{x}_1 + x_2 \dot{x}_2 \\
 &= x_1 (-x_1 + x_1^2 x_2) + x_2 (-x_1^3 - x_2 + u) \\
 &= -x_1^2 + x_1^3 x_2 - x_1^3 x_2 - x_2^2 + u x_2 \\
 &= -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + u x_2 \\
 &= -\|x\|_2^2 + u x_2
 \end{aligned}$$

and upper bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{V}(x) &\leq -\|x\|_2^2 + |u x_2| \\
 &= -\|x\|_2^2 + |u| |x_2| \\
 &\leq -\|x\|_2^2 + |u| \|x\|_2 \\
 &= -\|x\|_2^2 + |u| \|x\|_2 + \theta \|x\|_2^2 - \theta \|x\|_2^2 \\
 &= -(1 - \theta) \|x\|_2^2 + |u| \|x\|_2 - \theta \|x\|_2^2 \\
 &= -(1 - \theta) \|x\|_2^2 - (\theta \|x\|_2 - |u|) \|x\|_2 \\
 &\leq -(1 - \theta) \|x\|_2^2 \quad \forall \theta \|x\|_2 - |u| \geq 0 \\
 &= -(1 - \theta) \|x\|_2^2 \quad \forall \|x\|_2 \geq \frac{|u|}{\theta}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Hence, the system is input-to-state stable.

2. The system is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{x}_1 &= -x_1 + x_2 \\
 \dot{x}_2 &= -x_1^3 - x_2 + u
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $V(x)$ be given by

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{4}x_1^4 + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2$$

The time derivative along the trajectories of the system is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{V}(x) &= x_1^3 \dot{x}_1 + x_2 \dot{x}_2 \\
 &= x_1^3 (-x_1 + x_2) + x_2 (-x_1^3 - x_2 + u) \\
 &= -x_1^4 + x_1^3 x_2 - x_1^3 x_2 - x_2^2 + u x_2 \\
 &= -x_1^4 - x_2^2 + u x_2
 \end{aligned}$$

and upper bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}(x) &= -x_1^4 - (1 - \theta)x_2^2 + ux_2 - \theta x_2^2 \\ &\leq -x_1^4 - (1 - \theta)x_2^2 \quad \forall |x_2| \geq \frac{|u|}{\theta}\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where $\theta \in (0, 1)$. When $|x_2| \leq \frac{|u|}{\theta}$ have that

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}(x) &= -x_1^4 - x_2^2 + ux_2 \\ &\leq -x_1^4 - x_2^2 + |x_2||u| \\ &\leq -x_1^4 - x_2^2 + \frac{|u|^2}{\theta} \\ &= -(1 - \theta)x_1^4 - x_2^2 - \left(\theta x_1^4 - \frac{|u|^2}{\theta}\right) \\ &\leq -(1 - \theta)x_1^4 - x_2^2 \quad \forall |x_1| \geq \sqrt{\frac{|u|}{\theta}}\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

By using (6) and (7) it can be recognized that

$$\dot{V}(x) \leq -(1 - \theta)(x_1^4 + x_2^2) \quad \forall \|x\|_\infty \geq \rho(|u|)$$

where

$$\rho(r) = \max\left(\frac{r}{\theta}, \sqrt{\frac{r}{\theta}}\right)$$

Hence, the system is input-to-state stable.

3. With $u = 0$ the system is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= (x_1 - x_2)(x_1^2 - 1) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= (x_1 + x_2)(x_1^2 - 1)\end{aligned}$$

and it can be seen that it has an equilibrium set $\{x_1^2 = 1\}$. Hence, the origin is not globally asymptotically stable. It follows that the system is not input-to-state stable.

4. The unforced system ($u = 0$) has equilibrium points $(-1, -1)$, $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$. Hence, the origin is not globally asymptotically stable. Consequently, the system is not input-to-output stable.

Solution 5

The system is given by $y = Hu$ and is a series connection of

$$\begin{aligned}y_1 &= H_1 u_1 \\ y_2 &= H_2 u_2\end{aligned}$$

where $u = u_1$, $y_1 = u_2$ and $y = y_2$. Assume that both systems is L stable, that is

$$\begin{aligned}\|y_{1\tau}\|_L &= \|(H_1 u_1)_\tau\|_L \\ &\leq \alpha_1 (\|u_{1\tau}\|_L) + \beta_1 \\ \|y_{2\tau}\|_L &= \|(H_2 u_2)_\tau\|_L \\ &\leq \alpha_2 (\|u_{2\tau}\|_L) + \beta_2\end{aligned}$$

The L stability of the series connection is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}\|y_\tau\|_L &= \|y_{2\tau}\|_L \\ &\leq \alpha_2 (\|u_{2\tau}\|_L) + \beta_2 \\ &= \alpha_2 (\|y_{1\tau}\|_L) + \beta_2 \\ &\leq \alpha_2 (\alpha_1 (\|u_{1\tau}\|_L) + \beta_1) + \beta_2 \\ &= \alpha_2 (\alpha_1 (\|u_\tau\|_L) + \beta_1) + \beta_2 \\ &\leq \alpha_2 (2\alpha_1 (\|u_\tau\|_L)) + \alpha_2 (2\beta_1) + \beta_2 \\ &= \alpha (\|u_\tau\|_L) + \beta\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha (\|u_\tau\|_L) = \alpha_2 (2\alpha_1 (\|u_\tau\|_L))$ and $\beta = \alpha_2 (2\beta_1) + \beta_2$. Now assume that both systems are finite gain L stable, that is

$$\begin{aligned}\|y_{1\tau}\|_L &= \|(H_1 u_1)_\tau\|_L \\ &\leq \gamma_1 \|u_{1\tau}\|_L + \beta_1 \\ \|y_{2\tau}\|_L &= \|(H_2 u_2)_\tau\|_L \\ &\leq \gamma_2 \|u_{2\tau}\|_L + \beta_2\end{aligned}$$

The finite gain L stability of the series connection is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}\|y_\tau\|_L &= \|y_{2\tau}\|_L \\ &\leq \gamma_2 \|u_{2\tau}\|_L + \beta_2 \\ &= \gamma_2 \|y_{1\tau}\|_L + \beta_2 \\ &\leq \gamma_2 (\gamma_1 \|u_{1\tau}\|_L + \beta_1) + \beta_2 \\ &= \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \|u_{1\tau}\|_L + \gamma_2 \beta_1 + \beta_2 \\ &= \gamma \|u_\tau\|_L + \beta\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \gamma_1 \gamma_2$ and $\beta = \gamma_2 \beta_1 + \beta_2$.

Solution 6

The system is given by $y = Hu$ and is a parallel connection of

$$\begin{aligned}y_1 &= H_1 u_1 \\ y_2 &= H_2 u_2\end{aligned}$$

where $u = u_1 = u_2$ and $y = y_1 + y_2$. Assume that both systems is L stable, that is

$$\begin{aligned}\|y_{1\tau}\|_L &= \|(H_1 u_1)_\tau\|_L \\ &\leq \alpha_1 (\|u_{1\tau}\|_L) + \beta_1 \\ \|y_{2\tau}\|_L &= \|(H_2 u_2)_\tau\|_L \\ &\leq \alpha_2 (\|u_{2\tau}\|_L) + \beta_2\end{aligned}$$

The L stability of the parallel connection is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}\|y_\tau\|_L &= \|y_{1\tau} + y_{2\tau}\|_L \\ &\leq \|y_{1\tau}\|_L + \|y_{2\tau}\|_L \\ &\leq \alpha_1 (\|u_{1\tau}\|_L) + \beta_1 + \alpha_2 (\|u_{2\tau}\|_L) + \beta_2 \\ &= \alpha_1 (\|u_\tau\|_L) + \beta_1 + \alpha_2 (\|u_\tau\|_L) + \beta_2 \\ &= \alpha (\|u_\tau\|_L) + \beta\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha (\|u_\tau\|_L) = \alpha_1 (\|u_{1\tau}\|_L) + \alpha_2 (\|u_{2\tau}\|_L)$ and $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$. Now assume that both systems are finite gain L stable, that is

$$\begin{aligned}\|y_{1\tau}\|_L &= \|(H_1 u_1)_\tau\|_L \\ &\leq \gamma_1 \|u_{1\tau}\|_L + \beta_1 \\ \|y_{2\tau}\|_L &= \|(H_2 u_2)_\tau\|_L \\ &\leq \gamma_2 \|u_{2\tau}\|_L + \beta_2\end{aligned}$$

The finite gain L stability of the parallel connection is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}\|y_\tau\|_L &= \|y_{1\tau} + y_{2\tau}\|_L \\ &\leq \|y_{1\tau}\|_L + \|y_{2\tau}\|_L \\ &\leq \gamma_1 \|u_{1\tau}\|_L + \beta_1 + \gamma_2 \|u_{2\tau}\|_L + \beta_2 \\ &= \gamma_1 \|u_\tau\|_L + \beta_1 + \gamma_2 \|u_\tau\|_L + \beta_2 \\ &= \gamma \|u_\tau\|_L + \beta\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ and $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$.

Solution 7

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}y_{1\tau} &= (H_1 e_1)_\tau \\ y_{2\tau} &= (H_2 e_2)_\tau\end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|y_{1\tau}\|_L &= \|(H_1 e_1)_\tau\|_L \\
 &\leq \gamma_1 \|e_{1\tau}\|_L + \beta_1 \\
 \|y_{2\tau}\|_L &= \|(H_2 e_2)_\tau\|_L \\
 &\leq \gamma_2 \|e_{2\tau}\|_L + \beta_2
 \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating $\|y_{1\tau}\|_L$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|y_{1\tau}\|_L &\leq \gamma_1 \|e_{1\tau}\|_L + \beta_1 \\
 &= \gamma_1 \|u_{1\tau} - y_{2\tau}\|_L + \beta_1 \\
 &\leq \gamma_1 \|u_{1\tau}\|_L + \gamma_1 \|y_{2\tau}\|_L + \beta_1 \\
 &\leq \gamma_1 \|u_{1\tau}\|_L + \gamma_1 (\gamma_2 \|e_{2\tau}\|_L + \beta_2) + \beta_1 \\
 &= \gamma_1 \|u_{1\tau}\|_L + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \|e_{2\tau}\|_L + \gamma_1 \beta_2 + \beta_1 \\
 &= \gamma_1 \|u_{1\tau}\|_L + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \|u_{2\tau} + y_{1\tau}\|_L + \gamma_1 \beta_2 + \beta_1 \\
 &\leq \gamma_1 \|u_{1\tau}\|_L + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \|u_{2\tau}\|_L + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \|y_{1\tau}\|_L + \gamma_1 \beta_2 + \beta_1 \\
 &\Rightarrow \|y_{1\tau}\|_L \leq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_1 \gamma_2} (\gamma_1 \|u_{1\tau}\|_L + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \|u_{2\tau}\|_L + \gamma_1 \beta_2 + \beta_1) \quad (8)
 \end{aligned}$$

and evaluating $\|y_{2\tau}\|_L$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|y_{2\tau}\|_L &\leq \gamma_2 \|e_{2\tau}\|_L + \beta_2 \\
 &= \gamma_2 \|u_{2\tau} + y_{1\tau}\|_L + \beta_2 \\
 &\leq \gamma_2 \|u_{2\tau}\|_L + \gamma_2 \|y_{1\tau}\|_L + \beta_2 \\
 &\leq \gamma_2 \|u_{2\tau}\|_L + \gamma_2 (\gamma_1 \|e_{1\tau}\|_L + \beta_1) + \beta_2 \\
 &= \gamma_2 \|u_{2\tau}\|_L + \gamma_2 \gamma_1 \|e_{1\tau}\|_L + \gamma_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \\
 &= \gamma_2 \|u_{2\tau}\|_L + \gamma_2 \gamma_1 \|u_{1\tau} - y_{2\tau}\|_L + \gamma_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \\
 &\leq \gamma_2 \|u_{2\tau}\|_L + \gamma_2 \gamma_1 \|u_{1\tau}\|_L + \gamma_2 \gamma_1 \|y_{2\tau}\|_L + \gamma_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \\
 &\Rightarrow \|y_{2\tau}\|_L \leq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_1 \gamma_2} (\gamma_2 \|u_{2\tau}\|_L + \gamma_2 \gamma_1 \|u_{1\tau}\|_L + \gamma_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2) \quad (9)
 \end{aligned}$$

From (8) and (9) it can be seen that the map from (u_1, u_2) to (y_1, y_2) is finite-gain L stable if and only if the map from (e_1, e_2) to (y_1, y_2) is finite-gain L stable. This can be seen from

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|y_{1\tau}\|_L &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_1 \gamma_2} (\gamma_1 \|u_{1\tau}\|_L + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \|u_{2\tau}\|_L + \gamma_1 \beta_2 + \beta_1) \\
 \|e_{1\tau}\|_L &\leq \left(\gamma_1 + \frac{\gamma_1^2}{(1 - \gamma_1 \gamma_2)} \right) \|u_{1\tau}\|_L + \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_1^2 \gamma_2}{(1 - \gamma_1 \gamma_2)} \right) \|u_{2\tau}\|_L \\
 &\quad + \frac{\gamma_1 (\gamma_1 \beta_2 + \beta_1)}{(1 - \gamma_1 \gamma_2)} (\gamma_1 \beta_2 + \beta_1) + \gamma_2^2 + \gamma_2 \beta_1
 \end{aligned}$$

where it has been used that $\gamma_1 \|e_{1\tau}\|_L + \beta_1 \leq \gamma_1 \|u_{1\tau}\| + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \|u_{2\tau}\|_L + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \|y_{1\tau}\|_L + \gamma_1 \beta_2 + \beta_1$ and (7). Similar results can be found for $\|y_{2\tau}\|_L$ and $\|e_{2\tau}\|_L$.

Solution 8

Let the input to the system be denoted \tilde{u} and the output be denoted \tilde{y} . From the block diagram we have the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{y} &= h(t, u) - K_1 u \\ \tilde{u} + \tilde{y} &= K u\end{aligned}$$

From the sector condition we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(h(t, u) - K_1 u)^T (h(t, u) - K_2 u) &\leq 0 \\ K &= K_2 - K_1 = K^T > 0\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

Evaluating the block diagram it can be seen that

$$h(t, u) - K_1 u = \tilde{y}\tag{11}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}h(t, u) - K_2 u &= h(t, u) - K_2 u - K_1 u + K_1 u \\ &= \tilde{y} - (K_2 - K_1) u \\ &= \tilde{y} - K u \\ &= \tilde{y} - \tilde{u} - \tilde{y} \\ &= -\tilde{u}\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

Using (11), (12) the sector condition (10) it can be recognized that

$$\begin{aligned}(h(t, u) - K_1 u)^T (h(t, u) - K_2 u) &= \tilde{y}^T (-\tilde{u}) \\ &= -\tilde{u}^T \tilde{y} \\ &\leq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \tilde{u}^T \tilde{y} \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

which implies that the system is passive from \tilde{u} to \tilde{y} .

Solution 9

The system is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= -h(x_1) - ax_2 + u \\ y &= kx_2 + u\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a &> 0 \\ k &> 0 \\ h &\in [\alpha_1, \infty] \\ &\Rightarrow zh(z) \geq \alpha_1 z^2 \\ \alpha_1 &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

A storage function is given by

$$V(x) = k \int_0^{x_1} h(z) dz + x^T P x$$

where $p_{11} = ap_{12}$, $p_{22} = \frac{k}{2}$ and $0 < p_{12} < \min \{2\alpha_1, \frac{ak}{2}\}$. The time derivative of the storage functions along the trajectories of the system is found as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(x) &= k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left(\int_0^{x_1} h(z) dz \right) \dot{x}_1 + \dot{x}^T P x + x^T P \dot{x} \\ &= kh(x_1) \dot{x}_1 + 2x^T P \dot{x} \\ &= kh(x_1) x_2 - h(x_1) kx_2 + kux_2 - 2h(x_1) x_1 p_{12} + 2ux_1 p_{12} - akx_2^2 + 2x_2^2 p_{12} \\ &= -akx_2^2 + 2p_{12}x_2^2 - 2p_{12}h(x_1) x_1 + 2p_{12}ux_1 + kux_2 \\ &= -akx_2^2 + 2p_{12}x_2^2 - 2p_{12}h(x_1) x_1 + 2p_{12}ux_1 + (kx_2 + u)u - u^2 \\ &= -akx_2^2 + 2p_{12}x_2^2 - 2p_{12}h(x_1) x_1 + 2p_{12}ux_1 - u^2 + yu \end{aligned}$$

By rewriting this last expression it can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned} yu &= \dot{V}(x) + akx_2^2 - 2p_{12}x_2^2 + 2p_{12}h(x_1) x_1 - 2p_{12}ux_1 + u^2 \\ &= \dot{V}(x) + (ak - 2p_{12})x_2^2 + 2p_{12}h(x_1) x_1 + (u - p_{12}x_1)^2 - p_{12}^2 x_1^2 \\ &\geq \dot{V}(x) + (ak - 2p_{12})x_2^2 + 2p_{12}\alpha_1 x_1 - p_{12}^2 x_1^2 + (u - p_{12}x_1)^2 \\ &= \dot{V}(x) + (ak - 2p_{12})x_2^2 + (2p_{12}\alpha_1 - p_{12}^2)x_1^2 + (u - p_{12}x_1)^2 \\ &\geq \dot{V}(x) + (ak - 2p_{12})x_2^2 + (2p_{12}\alpha_1 - p_{12}^2)x_1^2 \\ &= \dot{V}(x) + \psi(x) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi(x) = (ak - 2p_{12})x_2^2 + p_{12}(2\alpha_1 - p_{12})x_1^2$$

Since $0 < p_{12} < \min \{2\alpha_1, \frac{ak}{2}\}$ we have that $\psi(x)$ is positive definite. Hence, the system is strictly passive.

Solution 10

A parallel connection is characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} u &= u_1 = u_2 \\ y &= y_1 + y_2 \end{aligned}$$

where the two systems is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= f_1(x_1, u_1) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= f(x_2, u) \end{aligned}$$

with the storage functions $V_1(x_1)$ and $V_2(x_2)$. The various passivity properties of the system may be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} u_1^T y_1 &\geq \frac{\partial V_1(x_1)}{x_1} f_1(x_1, u_1) + u_1^T \varphi_1(u_1) + y_1^T \rho_1(y_1) + \psi_1(x_1) \\ u_2^T y_2 &\geq \frac{\partial V_2(x_2)}{x_2} f_2(x_2, u_2) + u_2^T \varphi_2(u_2) + y_2^T \rho_2(y_2) + \psi_2(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Using the structure of the parallel connection it can be recognized that

$$\begin{aligned} u^T y &= u^T (y_1 + y_2) \\ &= u_1^T y_1 + u_2^T y_2 \\ &\geq \frac{\partial V_1(x_1)}{x_1} f_1(x_1, u_1) + u_1^T \varphi_1(u_1) + y_1^T \rho_1(y_1) + \psi_1(x_1) \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial V_2(x_2)}{x_2} f_2(x_2, u_2) + u_2^T \varphi_2(u_2) + y_2^T \rho_2(y_2) + \psi_2(x_2) \\ &= \left[\frac{\partial V_1(x_1)}{x_1} \quad \frac{\partial V_2(x_2)}{x_2} \right] \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x_1, u_1) \\ f_2(x_2, u_2) \end{bmatrix} + u^T \varphi_1(u) + u^T \varphi_2(u) \\ &\quad + y_1^T \rho_1(y_1) + y_2^T \rho_2(y_2) + \psi_1(x_1) + \psi_2(x_2) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} V(x) f(x, u) + u^T \varphi(u) + y_1^T \rho_1(y_1) + y_2^T \rho_2(y_2) + \psi(x) \\ &= \dot{V}(x) + u^T \varphi(u) + y_1^T \rho_1(y_1) + y_2^T \rho_2(y_2) + \psi(x) \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \tag{14}$$

$$V(x) = V_1(x_1) + V_2(x_2) \tag{15}$$

$$\varphi(u) = \varphi_1(u) + \varphi_2(u) \tag{16}$$

$$\psi(x) = \psi_1(x_1) + \psi_2(x_2) \tag{17}$$

From (13) and (14)-(17) it can be seen that the parallel connection keeps the passivity properties of passive, input strictly passive and strictly passive. For output strictly passive, we require that

$$y_i^T \rho_i (y_i) \geq \delta_i y_i^T y_i \quad (18)$$

for some positive δ_i . Using (18) and $\delta = \max \{ \delta_1, \delta_2 \}$ we may rewrite $y_1^T \rho_1 (y_1) + y_2^T \rho_2 (y_2)$ according to

$$\begin{aligned} y_1^T \rho_1 (y_1) + y_2^T \rho_2 (y_2) &\geq \delta_1 y_1^T y_1 + \delta_2 y_2^T y_2 \\ &\geq \delta y_1^T y_1 + \delta y_2^T y_2 \\ &= \delta (y_1^T y_1 + y_2^T y_2) \\ &\geq \delta \left(\frac{1}{2} (y_1 + y_2)^T (y_1 + y_2) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \delta y^T y \end{aligned}$$

where it has been used that

$$(y_1 + y_2)^T (y_1 + y_2) \leq 2 (y_1^T y_1 + y_2^T y_2)$$

Solution 11

The system is given by

$$M(q) \ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q}) \dot{q} + D\dot{q} + g(q) = u$$

where

- $M(q) = M^T(q) > 0 \forall q \in \mathbb{R}^m$
- $\dot{M}(q) - 2C(q, \dot{q})$ is skew-symmetric $\forall q, \dot{q} \in \mathbb{R}^m$
- $D = D^T > 0$
- $P(q) > 0$ is the total potential energy of the links due to gravity (a positive definite function)
- $g(q) = \left[\frac{\partial P(q)}{\partial q} \right]^T$ where $g(q)$ has an isolated root at $q = 0$.

1. The storage function is taken as

$$V(q, \dot{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^T M(q) \dot{q} + P(q)$$

The time derivative along the trajectories of the system is found as

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}(q, \dot{q}) &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^T M(q) \dot{q} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^T M(q) \ddot{q} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^T \dot{M}(q) \dot{q} + \dot{P}(q) \\
&= \dot{q}^T M(q) \ddot{q} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^T \dot{M}(q) \dot{q} + \frac{\partial}{\partial q} P(q) \dot{q} \\
&= \dot{q}^T (u - C(q, \dot{q}) \dot{q} - D\dot{q} - g(q)) + \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^T \dot{M}(q) \dot{q} + g^T(q) \dot{q} \\
&= \dot{q}^T u - \dot{q}^T C(q, \dot{q}) \dot{q} - \dot{q}^T D\dot{q} - \dot{q}^T g(q) + \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^T \dot{M}(q) \dot{q} + g^T(q) \dot{q} \\
&= \dot{q}^T u - \dot{q}^T D\dot{q} + \dot{q}^T \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{M}(q) - C(q, \dot{q}) \right) \dot{q} \\
&= \dot{q}^T u - \dot{q}^T D\dot{q} \\
&\leq \dot{q}^T u \\
&\Rightarrow u^T \dot{q} \geq \dot{V}(q, \dot{q})
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the map from u to \dot{q} is passive.

2. Using the control input

$$u = -K_d \dot{q} + v$$

where K_d is a diagonal positive definite matrix, the time derivative of the storage function along the trajectories of the system is found as

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}(q, \dot{q}) &= \dot{q}^T u - \dot{q}^T D\dot{q} \\
&= \dot{q}^T (-K_d \dot{q} + v) - \dot{q}^T D\dot{q} \\
&= -\dot{q}^T K_d \dot{q} + \dot{q}^T v - \dot{q}^T D\dot{q} \\
&\leq -\lambda_{\min}(K_d) \|\dot{q}\|_2^2 + \dot{q}^T v \\
&\Rightarrow u^T \dot{q} \geq \dot{V}(q, \dot{q}) + \lambda_{\min}(K_d) \dot{q}^T \dot{q}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the map from u to \dot{q} is output strictly passive.

3. The storage function is a positive definite function in q and \dot{q} . Its time derivative is negative semidefinite. By applying LaSalle's theorem, it can be recognized that the origin is asymptotically stable. It will be globally asymptotically stable if $q = 0$ is the unique root of $g(q) = 0$ and $P(q)$ is radially unbounded.